Preliminary results of the comparison of satellite imagers using Tuz Gölü as a reference standard
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Abstract:
Earth surfaces, such as deserts, salt lakes, and playas, have been widely used in the vicarious radiometric calibration of optical earth observation satellites. In 2009, the Infrared and Visible Optical Sensors (IVOS) sub-group of the Committee of Earth Observation Satellites (CEOS) Working Group on Calibration and Validation (WGCV) designated eight LANDNET reference sites to focus international efforts, facilitate traceability and enable the establishment of measurement “best practices.” With support from the European Space Agency (ESA), one of the LANDNET sites, the Tuz Gölü salt lake located in central Turkey, was selected to host a cross-comparison of measurement instrumentation and methodologies conducted by 11 different ground teams across the globe. This paper provides an overview of the preliminary results of the cross-comparison of the ground-based spectral measurements made during the CEOS Land Comparison 13-27 August, 2010 with the simultaneous satellite image data acquisitions of the same site.

1. Introduction
Earth surfaces, such as deserts, salt lakes, and playas, have been widely used in the vicarious radiometric calibration of optical earth observation satellites. In 2009, the Infrared and Visible Optical Sensors (IVOS) sub-group of the Committee of Earth Observation Satellites (CEOS) Working Group on Calibration and Validation (WGCV) designated eight LANDNET reference sites to focus international efforts, facilitate traceability and enable the establishment of measurement “best practices.” With support from the European Space Agency (ESA), one of the LANDNET sites, the Tuz Gölü salt lake located in central Turkey, was selected to host a cross-comparison of measurement instrumentation and methodologies conducted by 11 different ground teams across the globe, including:

- Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK) Space Technologies Research Institute - UZAY (Turkey),
- National Physical Laboratory – NPL (UK),
- French Aerospace Laboratory – ONERA (France),
- Flemish Institute for Technological Res. – VITO (Belgium),
- National Aeronautics and Space Administration. – NASA (USA),
- Geo-Informatics and Space Technology Development Agency – GISTDA (Thailand),
- Korean Aerospace Research Institute – KARI (S. Korea),
- South Africa Council for Scientific and Industrial Research – CSIR (South Africa),
- Chinese National Satellite Metrological Centre – NSMC/CMA (China),
- Brazilian National Institute for Space Research – INPE (Brazil), and
- South Dakota State University – SDSU (USA).

This paper compares the ground-based spectral measurements made during the CEOS Land Comparison 13-27 August, 2010 with simultaneous satellite image data acquisitions of ENVISAT MERIS, ALOS AVNIR-2, EO-1 ALI, LANDSAT 5 TM, LANDSAT 7 ETM, and THEOS over the same site, Tuz Gölü, Turkey (Özen, et. al, 2011a) (Fig. 1).
The objective of the study was to cross-compare the satellite measured radiometric data with each other, corrected for spatial, spectral and view angle and with ground measured data averaged from the results of the ten independent ground teams.

The cross-comparison was performed by comparing Top-of-the-Atmosphere (TOA) reflectances from satellite imagery with that propagated to TOA reflectances through the radiative transfer code 6S (Behnert, et. al, 2011 and Özen, et. al, 2011b). The analysis was carried out by a community agreed method and identifies a number of biases in the sensor measured values.

2. METHODOLOGY

During the CEOS Land Comparison 2010, images from different optical sensors were acquired over the Tuz Gölü salt lake. These images were compared with the ground measurements taken during the CEOS Land Comparison 2010. The comparison methodology used is presented in the flowchart in Figure 2. Satellite images were acquired over the measurement site at Tuz Gölü test site at the same time the ground measurements were conducted (Fig. 3a). The TOA reflectances were derived from these images. The measurement site consisted of eight areas of the size of 100 m x 300 m and an area of the size of 1 km x 1km (Fig. 3b). These areas were measured by 11 different teams alternately (Özen, et. al, 2011a). For this study a mean value of the ground measurements was obtained over six areas of the size of 100 m x 300 m representing the measurement site as enclosed in red in Figure 3. Afterwards, TOA reflectances were simulated from ground measurement reflectance data together with the atmospheric data by running a radiative transfer code. In this analysis, the 6S (Second Simulation of a Satellite Signal in the Solar Spectrum) radiative transfer code was used (Vermote et. al., 1997). Finally, the percent differences of the TOA reflectances were calculated for comparison.

When comparing images from different sensors, there are three advantages to using TOA reflectance instead of at-sensor spectral radiance (Haque et. al., 2010). First, it removes the cosine effect of different solar zenith angles due to the time difference between data acquisitions. Second, TOA reflectance compensates for different values of the exo-atmospheric solar irradiance arising from spectral band differences. Third, the TOA reflectance corrects for the variation in the Earth-Sun distance between different data acquisition dates. These variations can be significant geographically and temporally. Different sensors with different overpass times and different spatial and spectral characteristics acquired images of the Tuz Gölü site on different days during the CEOS Land Comparison 2010. Therefore, in this study TOA reflectances were compared instead of TOA radiances. The ground measurements were used to predict the TOA reflectance values and compare them with the TOA reflectances derived from satellite data.
The TOA reflectance percent differences calculated for ENVISAT MERIS, ALOS AVNIR-2, EO-1 ALI, LANDSAT 5 TM, LANDSAT 7 ETM, and THEOS satellite images are summarized in Figure 4. The TOA reflectance average percent difference between most of the satellite images agreed within 10% for acquisitions over Tuz Gölü site in the blue, green and NIR range of the spectrum.

To compare the daily averages of the satellite data derived and 6S radiative transfer code predicted TOA reflectances of the CEOS Land Comparison 2010 over Tuz Gölü Figure 5 was graphed. On 18.08.2010, the only satellite image available was the LANDSAT 5 TM image. Unfortunately, the blue band was saturated. Therefore, in Fig. 5 there was no value for the blue band on the 18th of August. The ground measurements at CEOS Land Comparison 2010 were conducted on 17-25 August 2010. There were no satellite acquisitions on 24.08.2010. The more satellite acquisition on a specific day the more the derived and predicted values were close in value.

4. CONCLUSION

The CEOS Land Comparison 2010 was conducted on 13-27 August, 2010 with the international participation of 11 different scientific ground measurement teams at the Tuz Gölü test site. Concurrently, satellite images were acquired over the measurement site. These satellite images were collected from different sensors with different overpass times and different spatial and spectral characteristics on different days over the the Tuz Gölü site. This paper summarizes the preliminary results of the cross-comparison of the ground measurements that were used to predict the TOA reflectance values and the TOA reflectances derived from satellite data.
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